

EBVM Toolkit 8

Case control study checklist

There are five key steps to follow in Evidence-based Veterinary Medicine (EBVM).

- 1. Asking an answerable clinical question
- 2. Finding the best available evidence to answer the question
- 3. Critically appraising the evidence for validity
- 4. Applying the results to clinical practice
- 5. Evaluating performance

This handout is designed to help you appraise the report of a case control study. Answering the questions will help you to reflect on how valid the results might be, how well reported they are and whether they are applicable to your local circumstances.

	Yes	No	Not sure	Reason
Did the study address a clearly				
focused question?				
Are the patient/population and risk				
factors clearly stated? Is the study				
looking for a beneficial or harmful				
effect?				
Was an appropriate method				
used to answer the question?				
Is the use of a case control method,				
which is usually only used for rare				
conditions or harmful outcomes,				
appropriate?				

Were the cases recruited in an		
appropriate way?		
Is there a clear definition of the cases?		
Did the cases represent a defined		
population?		
Was there a reliable system for		
selecting cases? Was the timescale		
relevant?		
Was there a sufficient number of		
cases? Was there a power calculation?		
Mone contacts and the		
Were controls selected in an		
appropriate way?		
Look for any bias in the selection		
which could compromise the results.		
Were the controls representative of		
the defined population?		
Were the controls matched or		
randomly selected? Were there a		
sufficient number of controls?		
Was the exposure accurately		
measured to minimise bias?		
Was the exposure clearly defined and		
accurately measured? Have the		
measures been validated?		
Were the measurements used the		
same for both the cases and controls?		

What confounding factors have	
the authors accounted for?	
List the ones you think are important.	
Can you think of any that have been	
missed?	
Confounding occurs when the link	
between exposure and outcome is	
distorted by another factor	
Have potential confounding	
factors been taken into account	
in the design and or analysis?	
What are the results of the	
study?	
What outcomes were measured?	
How strong is the association between	
exposure and outcome?	
Is the analysis appropriate?	
How precise was the estimate of	
risk?	
Look for confidence intervals	
Do you believe the results?	
A large effect has to be taken	
seriously.	
Can the result be due to chance?	
Have you spotted flaws that make the	
results unreliable?	

Want to try it out?

You could use the following paper to try out the questions:

Hayes, H. et al (1991) Case control study of canine malignant lymphoma: Positive association with dog owner's use of 2, 4-dichlorophenoxyacetic acid herbicides. *Journal of the National Cancer Institute*, 83 (17), pp. 1226-1231. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1093/jnci/83.17.1226

EBVM Toolkit 8: Case control study checklist by <u>RCVS Knowledge</u> is licensed under a <u>Creative Commons Attribution-NoDerivatives 4.0 International License</u>.

We welcome comments and suggestions for improvement to this guide.

Please email ebvm@rcvsknowledge.org